



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
vs.
Gary Lindley,
Defendant.

Case No.: CR 04-1150-RGK

ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING
[Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.1(a)(6);
18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)]

The defendant having been arrested in this District pursuant to a warrant issued by the United States District Court for the Central Dist. / California for alleged violation(s) of the terms and conditions of his/her [probation] supervised release; and

The Court having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a),

The Court finds that:

- A. (✓) The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to flee if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based on unknown bail resources and recent background,

1 no known ties to community, committed criminal
2 act while on supervision
3

4 (and) or

5 B. (✓) The defendant has not met (his)/her burden of establishing by
6 clear and convincing evidence that (he)/she is not likely to pose
7 a danger to the safety of any other person or the community if
8 released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based
9 on: current allegations, including new criminal
10 conviction
11
12
13

14 IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending
15 the further revocation proceedings.

16
17 Dated: _____

4/13/15

18 Jean Rosenbluth
19 JEAN ROSENBLUTH
20 U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28